## Rec'd PCT/PTO 09 DEC 2004

# 10/517331

### **PCT**

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70) and Devident of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

(Rationalised Report according to	the Notice of the President		
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		Examination Report (Form PC1/IFEA/410)
03PA0099 PCT International application No.	International filing date (do	y/month/year)	Priority date (day month year)
international approximation 1100			11/06/2002
PCT/EP03/05848 04/06/2003 11/05/2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
A61F13/15			
Applicant			
CARL FREUDENBERG KG			
2. This REPORT consists of a to	tal of sheets, inclu	ding this cover she	ion, claims and/or drawings which have ifications made before this Authority
These annexes consists of a total of sheets.			
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
IX Basis of the report			
II Priority			
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention			
V X  Reaconed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the international application			
VIII Certain observations on the international application			
. 9			. , (1)
		Date of completion	on of this report
Date of submission of the demand	, i		2/2004
02/10/2003		05/02	SASOES PATEIN

Authorized officer

Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828

MINI A E

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

#### Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).